

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/679,687 10/06/2003		Thomas J. Gieseke	82996	5335		
7590 03/23/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Office Of Counsel, Bldg 112T			JOHNSON,	JOHNSON, STEPHEN		
Naval Undersea	Warfare Center					
Division, Newp	oort	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
1176 Howell St	reet ·	3641				
Newport, RI 02841-1708			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			_		Λ			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/679,6	87	GIESEKE ET AL.	(1) (1)			
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Stephen	M. Johnson	3641	1			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with ti	he correspondence ad	dress			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR	REPLY IS SET T	O EXPIRE 3 MON	TH(S) FROM				
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION AND A COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS	ATION. TOFR 1.136(a). In no evention. To a reply within the start or properiod will apply and work or the approper the appropers	ent, however, may a reply to tutory minimum of thirty (30 vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS olication to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timel from the mailing date of this coonsidered to the time.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>06 October 200</u>	<u>)3</u> .					
2a)[_								
3)[' =							
	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte</i> Qu	<i>layle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	I, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the app	lication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6-8,10 and 12-20</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) 2,3,5,9 and 11 is/are objected							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 06 October 200	<u>3</u> is/are: a)∐ acc	epted or b)⊠ objed	cted to by the Examin	er.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	<u>-</u>		-				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. N	ote the attached Of	ffice Action or form P1	TO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
• —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have bee cuments have bee the priority docum	en received. en received in Appli ents have been rec	ication No	Stage			
* (See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the cert	ified copies not rec	eived.				
Attachmer	nt(s)		_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	0.49)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo er No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/06/2003</u> .			nal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/679,687

Art Unit: 3641

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the means for deploying said detecting means (claims 6 and 7) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The means for deploying the detecting means (claims 6 and 7) is unsupported in the written specification, as originally filed.
- 3. Claims 6-7, 12-13, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 6 and 7, it is not understood as to what structure is intended to correspond to the claimed function of "means for deploying said detecting means"? In claim 19, it is not understood as to how one may perform the step of sinking the hydrodynamic module to the bottom of the body of water when in the previous step (see claim 18) the hydrodynamic module has been recovered from the body of water.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/679,687 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 4, 6, 14, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Myers.

Myers discloses a device for countering an underwater target comprising:

a) a module;

b) at least one propulsor; 12, 13

c) a gun; and 88 or [7, 9, 98]

d) detecting the underwater target. 107 or 35

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 8, 10, 12, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers in view of Kirschner et al..

Myers applies as previously recited. However, undisclosed is a source of clearing the gun barrel via compressed air. Kirschner et al. teach a source of clearing the gun barrel via compressed air, 104, 106, 108. Applicant is selecting and assembling a means known in this art to clear an underwater gun barrel prior to firing and putting it to use as it is already commonly known to be used in this art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention to apply the teachings of Kirschner et al. to the Myers device and have an underwater gun barrel that is cleared by compressed air.

8. Claims 1 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adams.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,687

Art Unit: 3641

Adams discloses a device for countering an underwater target comprising:

a) a module; 2, 3

b) at least one propulsor; and 4, 5

c) a gun.

9. Claims 1, 4, 6, 14, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hillenbrand.

Hillenbrand discloses a device for countering an underwater target comprising:

a) a module;

b) at least one propulsor; 30

c) a gun; and figs. 5, 6, 7

d) detecting the underwater target. 25 or 51

- 10. Claims 2-3, 5, 9, and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 7 and 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. Claim 19 is too indefinite in its current form to make a determination regarding patentable subject matter.
- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Korolenko, Wheaton, Drimmer, Burt, and Lawrence disclose other state of the art underwater devices.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,687

Art Unit: 3641

7077,007

Page 5

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 703-306-4158. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 703-306-4198. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-4177.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9326. The fax phone number for after final communications is (703) 872-9327.

Dunh h hum

STEPHEN M. JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Stephen M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641

SMJ